### **Today:**

- 1. Review: DH key exchange
- 2. Definition of public key encryption
- 3. Construction

### **Recall: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol**

A 2048-bit prime number p is chosen and a generator  $g \in Z_p^*$ Recall g is a generator if  $\{g, g^2, ..., g^{p-1}\} = \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ (We will talk later how p and g are chosen.)



The key is defined by:  $K = g^{x \cdot y} \mod p$ 

**Theorem:** This scheme has **weak** security assuming the **CDH** Assumption.

**CDH Assumption:** For every *PPT* adversary *A* there exists a negligible function  $\mu$  such that for any  $n \in N$ , any *n*-bit prime *p* and generator  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,

$$\Pr[A(g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] \le \mu(n)$$

where the probability is over randomly chosen  $x, y \leftarrow \{1, ..., p-1\}$ .

**Theorem:** This scheme has **strong** security assuming the (false) **DDH** Assumption.

**DDH Assumption:** For every *PPT* adversary *A* there exists a negligible function  $\mu$  such that for any  $n \in N$ , any *n*-bit prime *p* and generator  $g \in Z_p^*$ ,

$$|\Pr[A(g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) = 1] - \Pr[A(g^x, g^y, g^u) = 1]| \le \frac{1}{2} + \mu(n)$$

where the probability is over randomly chosen  $x, y, u \leftarrow \{1, ..., p-1\}$ .

**Remark:** These assumptions can be made w.r.t. any group G, not only  $Z_p^*$ 

Why do we believe that the CDH assumption is true?

It is stronger than the well studied Discrete Log (DL) assumption.

**DL Assumption:** The function  $f_{p,q}: Z_p^* \to Z_p^*$ , defined by

$$f_{p,g}(x) = g^x \bmod p,$$

is a OWF.

The best algorithms we have for breaking the CDH assumption is via breaking the DL Assumption.

DDH is known to be broken (only) via subgroup attacks.

#### Best known algorithm for DL: Number Field Sieve.

runs in time roughly  $2^{\widetilde{O}(\log p)^{1/3}}$ 

This algorithm is quite complicated and will not be covered in this class. Instead, we will see a simple algorithm that runs in time roughly  $\sqrt{p}$ .

#### **Giant-Step Baby-Step (GSBS) algorithm:**

This algorithm works for any group, not only for  $Z_p^*$ 

# GSBS(p, g, y):1. Let $m = \sqrt{p}$ . 2. Let $L_1 = \{(i, g^{i \cdot m} \mod p) : i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}\}$ 3. Let $L_2\{(j, y \cdot g^{-j} \mod p) : j \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}\}$ 4. Find (i, j, z) such that $(i, z) \in L_1$ and $(j, z) \in L_2$ (Note that $z = g^{i \cdot m} = y \cdot g^{-j}$ )

5. Output  $x = i \cdot m + j$ 

**Note:** Inverses can be computed efficiently!

Either by the extended GCD algorithm or by using Fermat's theorem.

**Fermat's theorem:** For any  $g \in Z_p^*$  we have  $g^{p-1} = 1 \mod p$ 

Thus,  $x^{-1} \mod p = x^{p-2} \mod p$ 

Discrete Log assumption is broken with quantum computers but is believed to be hard classically.

#### Is weak security of key exchange sufficient?

Note that the key is not random only unpredictable!

For encryption we need our secret key to be random.

We can get by with weak security by using H(K) as the secret key, where H is a hash function.

# DH key exchange where the output is H(K) has strong security in the Random Oracle Model!

**Remark:** DH key exchange have strong security without using H due to subgroup attacks. Indeed, the DDH assumption is known to be false in  $Z_p^*$  (and in other groups on non-prime order).

The DDH Assumption is believed to be true in prime order groups! These are groups with no (non-trivial) subgroups.

## **Common groups used in practice:**

Groups of prime order over elliptic curves.

- 1. DDH Assumption is believed to be true in these groups.
- 2. No non-trivial attacks: Best known attack is the Giant-Step-Baby-Step.

This allows us to use shorter keys – 256 bits!

One can also use a prime sub-group of  $Z_p^*$ 

Idea: Choose p to be a safe prime; i.e., p = 2q + 1.

(q is called Sophie Germain prime).

Choose  $g \in Z_p^*$  to be any quadratic residue s.t.  $g \neq 1$ .

Namely, choose any  $x \in Z_p^*$  s.t.  $x \notin \{1, -1\}$  and let  $g = x^2 \mod p$ .

Then g is a generator of the Quadratic Residues subgroup of  $Z_p^*$  ,

$$\left\{x^2 \bmod p : x \in Z_p^*\right\}$$

which is a group of prime order q.

The reason is that the order of any subgroup divides the order of the groups.

# **Public Key Cryptography:**

**Idea:** Key agreement can be used to share a key over an insecure channel and then we can use it to encrypt messages.

This results in an interactive process.

#### Idea: Interaction can be replaced with a "public key"!

Each user will publish their own DH message  $g^x \mod p$  as their "public key".

If I want to encrypt a message m to a user with public key  $pk = g^x \mod p$ , I will simply choose a random  $y \leftarrow \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and send  $g^y \mod p$  together with  $H(g^{xy}) \bigoplus m$ . Namely, I will use the secret  $H(g^{xy})$  as a one-time pad.

Note: The fact that the first message  $g^x \mod p$  is reused is not a Problem for security! Namely, seeing many pairs  $g^{y_i}$ ,  $g^{x \cdot y_i}$ (for random  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , ...) does not harm security since these could be simulated.

#### This scheme is known as El-Gamal encryption scheme!

**Definition:** A **public key encryption scheme** consists of three PPT algorithms (*Gen*, *Enc*, *Dec*) and a messages space *M*:

- *Gen* generates a pair (*pk*, *sk*).
- Enc takes as input pk and message  $m \in M$  and outputs ct.
- *Dec* takes as input *sk* and *ct* and outputs *m*

**Correctness:**  $\forall m \in M \text{ and } \forall (pk, sk) \leftarrow Gen$ ,

 $\Pr\left[Dec(sk, Enc(pk, m)) = m\right] = 1$ 

**CPA security:** For every  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ 

$$(pk, Enc(pk, m_1)) \approx (pk, Enc(pk, m_2))$$

**Note:** This definition is simpler than the one given in the symmetric key setting since an adversary can generate encryptions of any messages of its choice on his own!

#### **El-Gamal Encryption Scheme:**

It is associated with public parameters p, g (as in DH key exchange) *Gen*: Choose at random  $x \leftarrow \{1, ..., p - 1\}$  and output  $(pk, sk) = (g^x \mod p, x)$  *Enc*(pk, m): Choose at random  $y \leftarrow \{1, ..., p - 1\}$  and output  $ct = (g^y \mod p, H(pk^y \mod p) \oplus m)$  *Dec*(sk, ct): Parse  $ct = (ct_1, ct_2)$ , and output  $m = H(ct_1^{sk} \mod p) \oplus ct_2$ 

# **Security:** follows immediately from the security of the DH key exchange!